

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,217	01/04/2002	Kazuya Takenouchi	Q67010	4555	
	90 09/24/2002				
SUGHRUE, MÏON, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			-EXAM	EXAMINER	
			OAZI SAR	QAZI, SABIHA NAIM	
Suite 800	Ala Adamsid NIW		QAZI, SAD	IIIA NAIM	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213		:	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
_			1616		
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002 /		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary		10/035,217		TAKENOUCHI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sabiha Naim Q	azi	1616				
- Dorind fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cove	er sheet with the co	orrespondence addre	ess			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	, ,							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 J</u>	<u>lanuary 2002</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-f	inal.		•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	ion of Claims							
4)[_]	4) Claim(s) 45-54 is/are pending in the application.							
5 \□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7)	Claim(s) <u>45-54</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ament					
	ion Papers	r election require	anent.	-				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Exan	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)∏ approv	ed b)∏ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/830,167</u> .							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)[] A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	4) 5) . 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s)atent Application (PTO-1				

Application/Control Number: 10/035,217

Art Unit: 1616

•

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 45-54 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what is intended by "derivatives" in claims. This term should be deleted.

A bracket "[" indicates if anything is deleted in claim 1. Is this intended to delete? Similarly "}" are found in the text of claims. A clarification is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 45-54 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 57-64 of copending Application No.10/830,167 and 10/035219. Although the conflicting claims

are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because method of use claims are now joined with the compound claims, as the compounds were considered allowable.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Naim Qazi whose telephone number is 703-305-3910. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 703-308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

September 23, 2002

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER